

Muon to electron conversion

The COMET [J-PARC] **& Mu2e** [Fermilab] **Experiments**

Phillip Litchfield (COMET)

Charged lepton flavour violation

We already know that lepton flavour is not conserved

- Weak mixing mechanism & non-degenerate neutrino masses
- Neutrino (lack of) mass & charge means this is easiest to observe in neutrino oscillations, but can also lead to **CLFV**:

- The basic SM amplitudes can be related to the neutrino oscillation parameters, but requires some radiation to conserve energy & momentum.
- The μe system is particularly simple because the radiated 'mass' must be neutral, and lighter than a muon.

Options for decaying muons

The most obvious candidate for the transition to radiate is a photon, and the branching ratio is:

$$
\frac{\Gamma(\mu \to e\gamma)}{\Gamma(\mu \to e\nu\nu)} \propto \left| \sum_{i} \frac{m_i^2}{m_W^2} U_{\mu i}^* U_{ei} \right|^2 \sim O(10^{-54})
$$

For a free muon, this radiation is the only option… …but in a muonic atom the radiation can be virtual! The nucleus absorbs it, and recoils slightly.

- Because of the relatively large nuclear mass, the electron is effectively mono-energetic.
- Because the process does not require a 'real' photon, other diagrams are possible…

 $\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$ (see note)

 μ

 \dot{W}

 $\boldsymbol{\nu}$

 $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}$

 \boldsymbol{e}

 $\overline{\gamma}$

Note: The γ can connect **anywhere, not just in the loop**

New physics

Similar processes exist in a wide variety of new physics scenarios. Muon decay is at low energy, so reduce to effective operators:

▀▕▕▎▎

$\mu N \rightarrow eN$ and $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\mu e} \sim \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \left[\frac{1}{\kappa + 1} m_{\mu} \bar{\mu} \sigma_{\mu \nu} e \cdot F^{\mu \nu} + \frac{\kappa}{\kappa + 1} \bar{\mu} \gamma_{\mu} e \cdot \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} q \right]
$$

- New physics \rightarrow CLFV in rare muon decays.
- Energy scale Λ affects the rate of all such processes.
- Parameter κ depends on the nature of the new physics

Both $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ and $\mu - e$ conversion **are sensitive to dipole terms, but** μ – e conv. is also sensitive to **4-femion terms.**

- More sensitive to some models.
- (If signal seen) the comparison allows discrimination between models

Basics of a (modern) μ – e conversion experiment

Muon decays

Muons allowed stop in suitable target.

- Initially **Aluminium**, but other materials under study.
- Conversion from 1s orbital: $\mu N \rightarrow eN$ gives a *mono-energetic electron* at 105MeV ($\approx m_\mu - B_{1s}^\mu$)

'Normal' decays are backgrounds

- Nuclear muon capture: $\mu N(Z) \rightarrow \nu N(Z-1)$
Decay in Orbit [DIO]: $\int_{\frac{2}{5}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}^{0.04}}$
- **Decay in Orbit [DIO]:** $\mu \rightarrow e \nu \overline{\nu}$

For a free muon, cuts off at 1 $\frac{1}{2}m_{\mu},$ but bound state has a small tail up to m_{μ}

Al

 μ

Backgrounds

Three main background processes: **Decay in orbit, as before** \blacktriangleright **Energy resolution!** 10^{3}

- **Decay in flight**: Electrons from energetic free muons can be boosted to 105MeV.
	- Use momentum selection in muon transport (see later)

e energy /MeV

• **Beam backgrounds**:

Significant number of prompt e^- and π^- produced by beam. Can eliminate this with timing *if* we have reliably beam-free time windows.

Beam-free windows?

Naïvely, this sounds easy, but...

- High intensity pulsed muon beams are uncommon \rightarrow new facilities
- Need $\tau_{\mu} \gg \sigma_{\text{pulse}}$ so choose stopping targets with long lifetime \mapsto low *Z*, conflicts with high *A* preferred for coherent signal.

Aluminium ($Z = 13$, $\tau_{\mu} = 0.88 \mu s$) preferred

Really beam-free windows?

RCS \mathbb{N} \mathbb{U} Main Ring

4/9 buckets filled

Synchrotrons have stable acceleration buckets

Even if you don't inject protons into them, stray protons can remain in stable acceleration.

The signal process is rare, so requirements on the *extinction* between pulses is very strict

Muon source

Main driver of sensitivity: Need lots of low energy muons!

- Use dedicated high-power *pulsed* proton beam lines (~8 GeV)
- Resonant slow extraction onto pion production target
- Collect *backward*-going pions with capture solenoid
- Requires high mag field to maximise collection
- Can use gradient field to reflect low-energy forward pions.

- Pions decay to muons en-route to stopping target.
- Many neutrons produced, requires careful shielding. The curved transport line helps to eliminate direct line-of sight.

Muon transport

Muon transport is a curved solenoid

- Particles are channelled in spiral paths [solenoid], which naturally tend up/down [curvature] depending on momentum and charge
	- Gives charge sign and momentum selection, which can be enhanced by using a collimator.
- Use to eliminate high momentum muons, other particles.
- Eliminates line-of-sight from production target

Mu2e

- S-shape and off-centre collimators that can rotation for BG studies
- Stopping **target** is 17 × 0.2mm Al foils
- Target & detector surrounded by solenoid
	- Electron transport
	- Magnetic mirror

• Electrons spiral from target to **tracker** and **EM calorimeter**

Mu2e Tracker

- Tracker made from straw tubes to measure particle momentum
- Minimum radius of 380mm corresponds to momentum ~ 60MeV
- 'Complete' tracks need mom > 90 MeV

 \triangle \Box \Box

Mu2e calorimeter

Inorganic scintillator crystals Provides fast response for trigger

 $MU2e$

• Energy measurement combined with momentum from tracker gives excellent μ/e discrimination

لتشا

城市

COMET

Two phases of COMET

Protons

Protons

Pion Capture Section

88 B B B B

A section to capture pions with a large solid angle under a high solenoidal magnetic field by superconducting maget

 \triangle UC

In time-revered order: Phase II…

- Muon transport is $180^\circ \rightarrow$ larger dispersion.
- COMET transport coils use compensating dipole so selected tracks **stay level**.
- COMET uses a second curved solenoid as an **electron spectrometer**.
- Final detector is **tracker / EM calorimeter** (like Mu2e) but full plane – no proton BG, thanks to spectrometer selection.

Stopping **Target**

…and Phase I

Phase I has 2 goals:

- Investigate backgrounds for phase II
- Perform search at 100x sensitivity of SINDRUM-II

For Phase I measurement use a **cylindrical drift chamber** around the stopping target.

• Triggering by **auxillary hodoscopes** Also include prototypes/partial elements of Phase II detectors for development and characterising backgrounds at low current

COMET developments

Extinction test

 \blacksquare

2014: 7×10^{-13} 90% U.L. [SINDRUM-II] (since 2004) ~2017: 3×10^{-15} S.E.S. [COMET Phase-I] ~2021: **3 × 10⁻¹⁷ S.E.S.** [COMET Phase-II & Mu2e]

beyond 2021: PRISIM /PRIME @J-PARC**?** Mu2e × ProjectX @FNAL**?**

• **Charged lepton flavour violation** is 'natural' in new physics scenarios.

- The (arguably) SM process is driven by neutrino mixing, and is hugely suppressed: $O(10^{-54})$
- Complimentary approaches from $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ and μe conversion
- Current limit is $O(10^{-12})$ from SINDRUM-II
- COMET & Mu2e can improve on this by **4 orders of magnitude** in 5~10 years
- Information on *what* the NP is through similar experiments.